

The effect of sentence level accent on the V-to-V coarticulation induced variability of vowels

Andrea DEME¹² – Márton BARTÓK¹² – Tekla Etelka GRÁCZI³² – Alexandra MARKÓ¹² – Tamás Gábor CSAPÓ⁴²

¹*Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest*

²*MTA-ELTE Lendület Lingual Articulation Research Group, Budapest*

³*Research Institute for Linguistics HAS, Budapest*

⁴*Budapest University of Technology and Economics, Budapest*

In general communicative situations, speech sounds do not occur in isolation, but rather in succession, forming a continuous speech stream. As we know, however, in this stream, the movement of the articulators, or more precisely, the movement responses from contiguous phoneme commands overlap in time, and interact with one another [1]. This process, i.e., coarticulation is one of the main reasons of the articulatory and acoustic variability of speech segments commonly observed in the phonetic analysis of speech, as opposed to the uniform representation of these segments stipulated in many phonological frameworks. The origin, function, and control of this process are a matter of continuous interest and debate, including the question if coarticulation is mainly a result of biomechanical properties of the articulatory organs (see [12]), or if it is part of the (phonetic) grammar controlled by phonologized rules (see [3]).

Irrespective of the theoretical accounts invoked to explain the phenomenon, we find a growing body of evidence revealing an interplay of prosodic prominence and coarticulation. Among others, these studies lead us to conclude that if the CV syllable is stressed, the V exhibits a decreased susceptibility to the C-induced coarticulation, and if the syllable is unstressed, there is a decreased resistance to it (see e.g., [4][5]). In addition, we also come across results supporting the claim that segments in CV clusters which exhibit greater coarticulatory resistance also display greater coarticulatory aggressiveness, that is, they exert a greater coarticulatory impact on the adjacent segment (see e.g., [6]). Following the above line of reasoning, the question arises, if the strengthening effect of prosodic prominence also induces coarticulatory aggressiveness just as it induces coarticulatory resistance. Moreover, we can also raise the question, if these effects are present also in coarticulation among non-adjacent segments, such as e.g., V-to-V coarticulation in VCV sequences. To the combination of these questions, [7] provided a negative answer through the analysis of 4 English speakers' V#/b/V sequences on which syllables the position of sentence level accent was varied. This study, however, covered only the articulatory domain. As for the acoustic domain, [8] tested if Cs exhibiting a higher degree of dorsal activity (/ɲ/, and dark /l/) allow for more V-to-V carryover coarticulation in nonsense VCV sequences than those of lower dorsal activity (/ð/ and clear /l/) in Catalan, if V₁ and V₂ vary in the presence or absence of lexical stress. Through the analysis of 5 speakers' data [8] concluded that (1) the effect of V-to-V coarticulation is stronger if the C is constrained less, (2) the reduction of the V is stronger if it unstressed, and (3) Vs have a greater coarticulatory aggressiveness if they are stressed. (Note that the effect of lexical stress and sentence level accent varied in these two studies.) However, [8] also pointed out that future work should clarify if these effects hold also in more speakers, in real words, and for other languages.

In an attempt (1) to further explore if prominence provokes coarticulatory resistance in V-to-V coarticulation, and (2) to uncover the language-specificity of the effect of prominence, in the present study we will analyze V-to-V carryover coarticulatory effects in real words containing /u/ and /i/, in minimally constrained C-context, and in the presence and absence of sentence

level accent in Hungarian (where lexical stress is fixed to the first syllable). In line with previous research, we hypothesize that Vs in accented syllables are more resistant to coarticulation, and that /i/ is more resistant than /u/ (see [6] for CV coarticulation).

Acoustic recordings were made of 19 adult Hungarian speakers producing the sequences /uhu/, /ihu/, /ihi/, and /uhi/ (in meaningful sentences) in two accent conditions, /'VCV/ and /V#CV/, where sentence level accent fall either on V₁ (i.e., the V which induced coarticulation in the asymmetrical context) or on V₂ (on which V the effect of coarticulation was measured) (the corpus was originally recorded in [9]). In order to maximize coarticulatory effects, the C was the glottal fricative /h/, which is known to be underspecified for oral configuration, and thus interferes the least with the single underlying diphthongal gesture (see [10]) of the V segments.

We plan to measure F₂ values of the target V₂ in the V onset and the midpoint of the V steady-state, and F₁ in the latter measurement point. Following the methods of Bang (2017) and the traditional locus equation approach for operationalizing the degree of coarticulation, we plan to build a linear mixed model using F_{2onset} as the outcome variable, and F_{2mid}, context (symmetrical/asymmetrical), vowel quality, and accent (accent/no accent) as fixed factors, and we add random slopes and intercepts for speakers. Additionally, we also plan to assess the magnitude of vowel dispersion via the calculation of the Euclidean distances of V₂ data points based on F_{1mid} × F_{2mid} values.

References

- [1] Farnetani, E. & Recasens, D. 2010. Coarticulation and connected speech processes. In Hardcastle, W. J., Laver, J. & Gibbon, F. E. (eds.) *Handbook of Phonetic Sciences*. (2nd Ed.) Chichester, U.K.: Wiley-Blackwell.
- [2] Lindblom, B. 1963. Spectrographic study of vowel reduction. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 35, 1773–1781.
- [3] Keating, P. A. 1990. The window model of coarticulation: articulatory evidence. In Kingston, J. & Beckman, M. E. (eds.) *Papers in Laboratory Phonology I: Between the Grammar and Physics of Speech*, 451–470. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Fowler, C. A. 1981. Production and perception of coarticulation among stressed and unstressed vowels. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research*, 46, 127–139.
- [5] De Jong, K., Beckman, M. E. & Edwards, J. 1993. The interplay between prosodic structure and coarticulation. *Language and Speech*, 36, 197–212.
- [6] Bang, H.-Y. 2017. The acoustic counterpart to coarticulation resistance and aggressiveness in locus equation metrics and vowel dispersion. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 141, EL345-EL350.
- [7] Cho, T. 2004. Prosodically conditioned strengthening and vowel-to-vowel coarticulation in English. *Journal of Phonetics*, 32, 141–176.
- [8] Recasens, D. 2015. The effect of stress and speech rate on vowel coarticulation in catalan vowel–consonant–vowel sequences. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 58, 1407–1424.
- [9] Deme, A., Bartók, M., Grácsi, T. E., Markó, A. & Csapó, T. G. (in press) A magyar /h/ zöngésedése magánhangzók között. [Intervocalic voicing of the Hungarian /h/] Beszédkutatás [Speech Research].
- [10] Öhman, S. E. G. 1966. Coarticulation in VCV utterances: spectrographic measurements. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 39, 151–168.